

and about the said Game, excepting these parties did make report to the Court with any further specially directed writt pursuant to the Statute in this behalf required by either of the parties to either.

Along this Reading Henry G. A. W. Newson

Commonwealth v. Edmund J. Smith & James G. Moore the defts. have pleaded Not Guilty issue being joined ^{whereupon a writ of Habeas Corpus is issued} as the defts. are now to be considered by the Court that the Commonwealth recover against the defts. the sum of one cent each & the costs of this prosecution.

The Court is Lucy Cobb the attorney for the Commonwealth with the agent of the Court says he will not further prosecute against the defendant therefore it is ordered that the presentment against be dismissed.

Commonwealth v. Moses Cutler the defts. pleaded Not Guilty & issue being joined thereupon came a writ to wit John H. Brother Drennon, Butler Edwin Feros Kirby Vick William Francis Cannel Vick Spradley L. Williams Jesse W. Barham Richard Bly the William W. Edwards Bolling Vick Allen Edwards who returned a verdict and found the defendant Not Guilty. Whereupon on motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth a writ of Habeas Corpus is awarded against the defts. to hear the further progress of the Court returnable to the next Term.

Commonwealth v. Henry Cannel.

Same v. Wood & John Vick

Same v. James Cannel.

On Motion of the attorney for the Commonwealth a writ of Habeas Corpus is awarded against the defts. returnable to the next Term.

The Commonwealth v. Thomas L. Rizzo the attorney for the Commonwealth with the agent of the Court says he will not further prosecute against the defendant therefore it is ordered that the presentment against be dismissed.

Commonwealth v. M. J. J. Davis Vick the defts. pleaded Not Guilty & issue being joined a writ to wit Arrington Gardner Esq. Henry John Morland Jr. J. J. Simmons William Brown Edwin Harrison John Sales James Cland Delah Edgeworth Esq. Newson Wm Brantly Richard H. Branch returned a verdict and found the defts. guilty against the defts. of one cent each. Therefore judgment is granted the defts. against the defts. for the fines aforesaid and the costs of this prosecution.

Commonwealth v. Barham by counsel as well for counsel of the attorney for the Commonwealth as the defendant by his attorney. It is considered by the Court that the Commonwealth recover against the defts. the sum of one cent each & the costs of this prosecution.

Smiths vs. M. J. J. Davis & Co. No. Dismissed.